



February 8, 2010

Sent via email

Kelcey Land
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region 8, 8ENF-T
999 18th Street, Suite 300
Denver, Colorado 80202-2466

RE: Progress report for January 2010 activities - Hecla Mining Company Apex Site (EPA ID No. UT982589848, Docket No. RCRA-8-99-06)

Dear Kelcey:

Per paragraph 64 of the Order, enclosed is a copy of the January 2010 progress report for your records.

If you have any questions please do not hesitate to call me at (208) 769-4112 or e-mail at pglader@hecla-mlning.com.

Sincerely,

Paul L. Glader

Manager Environmental Services

Enci

Cc: HMC Legal Dept (w/o attachments)



February 8, 2010

Sent via U.S. Mall

Glenn Rogers, Chairman. Shivwits Band of Paiute Indian Tribe 6060 West 3650 North Ivins, Utah 84738

John Krause Bureau of Indian Affairs 400 North 5th Street, Floor 12 Phoenix, AZ 85004

Kelly Youngbear BIA Southern Paiute Agency P.O. Box 720 St. George, UT 84771

RE: Progress report for January 2010 activities - Hecla Mining Company Apex Site (EPA ID No. UT982589848, Docket No. RCRA-8-99-06)

Dear Chairman Rogers, Mr. Krause and Ms. Youngbear:

Per paragraph 64 of the Order, enclosed is a copy of the January 2010 progress report for your records.

If you have any questions please do not hesitate to call me at (208) 769-4112 or e-mail at pqlader@hecla-mining.com.

Sincerely

Paul L. Glader

Manager Environmental Services

Encl

Cc:

HMC Legal Dept. (w/o attachments)

Kelcey Land (USEPA, Region VIII) (w/o attachments)



MEMORANDUM TO:

Apex File

COPIES TO:

distribution

FROM:

Paul Glader

SUBJECT:

Progress Report No. 69 for period ending January 31, 2010; Pond 2 Final Closure - Apex Site, Washington

County, Utah

Summary

The monthly visual inspection, per the long term monitoring plan, was conducted on January 9. No unusual conditions were noted.

Geotechnical Monitoring

Based on the data showing that the facility has experienced consistently low settlement rates over the past three years, Hecla will continue to monitor the facility, however with survey data being collected on an annual basis.

The settlement monitors were surveyed on August 17, 2009. No appreciable movement was noted. MEI prepared a Surface Monument Survey Data Review. Based on surface monitoring survey data collected from January 2006 through August 2009:

- Overall settlement of the reclaimed impoundment top surface continues to be very minor
- Settlement rates continue to slightly decrease

Work Planned for Next Period

Visual inspection of site

Cost and Schedule

Committed costs in January 2010 were \$176. Total project to date committed cost is approximately \$1,475,800.

Supplemental Attachments

January 2010 site inspection report

January 2010 cost report

Annual Site Inspection Summary Sheet - Apex Site - Pond 2

Hecla Mining Company - Long-Term Maintenance and Monitoring Plan

Form 1 of 4 - Summary

Date:	1-9-10	ز		
Inspector:	2.11	<u> </u>		
Cover System Component	P	otential Problem	Allowable Limits	Limits Potentially Exceeded
Site Perimeter	Erosion or	r Fencing Issues	NA	NA
	Subsidence		Minor: ponding < 1" some guilying / erosion	Yes No
			Significant: see Table 2	Yes* No <u>√</u>
	Embankment Slope Stability		excessive movement or surface cracks > than 1"	Yes* No/
		on top	depth > 1"	Vac + Na
Cover System (outslopes, top,		at embankment crest or on outslope	depth > 2"	Yes * No *
rock)	Gullylng	w/in normal flow channel in diversion channel	no gullying allowed	Yes* No/
		w/in diversions at toe of impoundment outslope	no guilying allowed	Yes No
,		in diversion channel at any other location	NA	NA
	Erosion Protection Stability		rock subsiding or missing	Yes * No
	Seepage	·	no colored seepage allowed (red, blue, yellow w/crystallization)	Yes No
	Diversion Channel		rock in place, channel not moving, fence stable	Yes V• No
Runoff Control System	Diversion Swales		rock in place, no sliting in or head cutting	Yes V· No
	Excessive silt build up at fence lines in diversion channel		allowed if not effecting cover system	Yes^ No

^{*} Mark all areas of concern or requiring repairs on attached site map.

Annual Site Inspection - Apex Site - Pond 2

Hecla Mining Company - Long-Term Maintenance and Monitoring Plan Form 2 of 4 - Site Perimeter

1	Inspection Date: /- 9-10		
	Inspector: D. Januar		
	Visible Outlying Areas	January 1	
Observed Condition:	No New problem.	V	
Observed Damage:	None		
	Ma	y require repair: Yes	_* No
	Property Boundary Fence and Gate (walk fence line)		
Observed Condillon:	Ferce looks to how in good Ryonin, I there had been a deer on the pund-	Cooker L. K.	
Observed Damage:	NOHE		
Potential Corrective Actions:	NONE		·
	Ma	y require repair: Yes	No/
	All Upgradient Areas (areas that drain onto property)		
Observed Condition:	No Change.		
Observed Damage:	None	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	May	y require repair: Yes	_ No <u>v</u>

^{*} Mark all areas of concern or requiring repairs on attached site map.

Annual Site Inspection - Apex Site - Pond 2

Hecla Mining Company - Long-Term Maintenance and Monitoring Plan

Form 3 of 4 - impoundment

	Inspection Date: 1-9-10	·
	Outslopes	
Observed Performance:	Rock Cover Subsidence: Yes No	May require repair: Yes* No
	Excessive Slope Movement (failure): Yes No	May require repair: Yes* No/
	Gully Development: Yes No	May require repair: Yes* No
	Observable Leachate (colored): Yes No	May require repair: Yes* No
	Excessive Siltation (at slope toe): Yes No	May require repair: Yes* No/
Observed Damage: //	ONE	
Potential Corrective Actions:	INE	
	Top (top surface soils)	
Observed Performance:	Cracking (>1" width): Yes No	May require repair: Yes* No
	Settlement / Evidence of Ponding: Yes No	May require repair: Yes* No
	Erosion / Gullying: Yes No	May require repair: Yes* No
Observed Damage: No	DNE	
Potential Corrective Actions:	IQUÉ .	
	Erosion Protection Layer (rock)	
Observed Performance:	Rock Staying In Place: Yes No	May require repair: Yes* No
	Rock Subsiding; Yes No	May require repair: Yes* No
	Missing Rock: Yes No	May require repair. Yes* No
Observed Damage: No	mle	
Potential Corrective No.	nle	

- mark all areas or concern or requiring repairs on attached site map.

Annual Site Inspection - Apex Site - Pond 2

Hecia Mining Company - Long-Term Maintenance and Monitoring Plan Form 4 of 4 - Diversion Channel and Swales

Date: /- Inspector:	7-10 Di (Znamen)		
		Diversion Channel	
Observed Performance:	Erosion Protection in place:	Yes No	May require repair: Yes* No
	Normal Flow Channel in place:	Yes No _	May require repair: Yes* No
	Encroaching on Site Fencing:	Yes No	May require repair: Yes * No
Observed Damage:	loue		
Potential Corrective // Actions:	onte:		. t.
		Diversion Swales	
Observed Performance:	Erosion Protection in place:	Yes:No	May require repair: Yes* No 1
	Flow Channel Silting In:	Yes No	May require repair: Yes No
	Head Cutting:	Yes _ No /	May require repair: Yes No
Observed Damage: No	NE		
Potential Corrective Actions:	INE		

^{*} Mark all areas of concern or requiring repairs on attached site map.

Activity	2004 Budget	Revised Budget May 2004	Committed Cost this Period	Cumulative Committed Cost To Date 1-31-10	Forecasted Cost To Complete	Forecasted Final Cost	Remarks on Forecast to Complete
Phases I through III (Completed February 2005)							
Phase I - Drain Excess Liquid From Tailings	189,200	72,700		67,928	0	67,928	
Phases II, IIA + IIB - Evaporate Excess Liquid	6,000	8,000	,	242,882	0	242,882	
Phase III - Regrading & Final Cover System	337,000	342,050		504,742	0	504,742	
						-	
Field Indirect Costs	164,500	213,568		378,517	0	378,517	Includes Jan + Feb 2006 long term monitoring costs
						Ü	
Hecla Costs	18,700	18,700	0	33,324	0	33,324	
Subtotal Phases I through III	715,400	655,018	0	1,227,393	0	1,227,393	
							·
	•						
Long Term Monitoring (through FY 2010)							
Site Inspections			176	190,343	4,370	194,713	
Settlement Monitoring				8,775		10,425	
Consultant Support:							
Annual Geotechnical Engineer Inspections				2,495	18,100	20,595	Includes settlement monitoring data analysis
Vegetation Monitoring			0	0	20,000	20,000	Allowance for surveys in FY 2008 - 2010
Site Conditions Review - MEI			0	7,669	2,132	9,801	
Site Conditions Review - SVL Analytical			0	2,079		2,079	
Erosion Repair Review - MEI				2,927	573	3,500	
Revegetation Review - Bamberg					3,500	3,500	
Maintenance:							•
Erosion Repair Allowance			-	21,941	7,500		Erosion repair conducted April 2008
Revegetation Allowance			-	9,912	10,000	19,912	Revegetation conducted April 2008
Hecia Project Management Costs:							
Labor			0		7,909		
Travel expenses			0	0	1,312	1,312	
							and the second s
Subtotal Long Term Monitoring	0	0	176	248,407	77,046	325,453	
							7
		22222	a	A			
Total Pond 2 Final Closure	715,400	655,018	176	1,475,800	77,046	1,552,846	